arXiv:hep-th/0310296v2 10 Nov 2003

Quantum effects and stability of chameleon cosmology

Shin'ichi Nojiri♠[1] and Sergei D. Odintsov♡♣[2]

♠ *Department of Applied Physics, National Defence Academy,*
Hashirimizu Yokosuka 239-8686, JAPAN

♡ *Institut d'Estudis Espacials de Catalunya (IEEC),*
Edifici Nexus, Gran Capità 2-4, 08034 Barcelona, SPAIN

♣ *Instituciò Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats (ICREA), Barcelona,*
SPAIN

Abstract

One possibility to explain the current accelerated expansion of the universe may be related with the presence of cosmologically evolving scalar whose mass depends on the local matter density (chameleon cosmology). We point out that matter quantum effects in such scalar-tensor theory produce the chameleon scalar field dependent conformal anomaly. Such conformal anomaly adds higher derivative terms to chameleon field equation of motion. As a result, the principal possibility for instabilities appears. These instabilities seem to be irrelevant at small curvature but may become dangerous in the regions where gravitational field is strong.

PACS numbers: 98.80.-k,04.50.+h,11.10.Kk,11.10.Wx

---

[1] Electronic mail: nojiri@nda.ac.jp, snojiri@yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp

[2] Electronic mail: odintsov@ieec.fcr.es Also at TSPU, Tomsk, Russia

The recent astrophysical data [1, 2] indicate that there is a dark energy providing approximately two thirds of the current universe energy density. There are various scenarios to explain what the dark energy is. For instance, the dark energy can be regarded as the (effective) cosmological constant which provides the current cosmic acceleration. Immediately, the question appears: why the cosmological constant, or accelerating Hubble constant is so small (of the order of 10^{-33} eV), compared with the Planck scale 10^{19} GeV? In another scenario the dark energy is produced by some exotic matter like phantom (field with negative kinetic energy) [3] or some other (usually scalar) matter. Unfortunately, such scalar fields are usually very light. Its coupling to matter should be tuned to extremely small values in order not to be in conflict with the Equivalence Principle. In a sense, the cosmological evolution of scalars contradicts with the solar system tests.

Recently, the very interesting attempt to overcome the problems with light scalars has been suggested in [4] (Chameleon Cosmology, see also [5]). The effective mass of the chameleon scalar field depends on the local matter density (for earlier discussions of density-dependent potentials, see [6]). Then on the cosmological scales, the evolving chameleon scalar is almost massless and gives naturally an effective cosmological constant of the order of the matter density in the universe. The mass becomes heavy, say on the earth. That does not contradict with the solar system tests of the Equivalence Principle. The coupling of chameleon with the matter is of the order unity [4]. Furthermore the potential and the couplings of the chameleon field(s) with matter are rather usual in the string theory.

We start with quick review of chameleon field scenario. The initial action has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[\frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{2}R - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\sigma\phi\partial^\sigma\phi - V(\phi)\right] \\ &\quad - \int d^4x\mathcal{L}_{\rm m}\left(\psi_{\rm m}^{(i)}, g_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}\right) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Here $\psi_{\rm m}^{(i)}$'s are matter fields, which are distinguished with each other by the index (i). The metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}$ is defined by

$$g_{\mu\nu}^{(i)} = {\rm e}^{\frac{2\beta_i\phi}{M_{\rm Pl}}} g_{\mu\nu} \ . \tag{2}$$

Here β_i's are constants, which depend on the matter. Typically the potential $V(\phi)$ is chosen as

$$V(\phi) = \frac{M^{4+n}}{\phi^n} \ . \tag{3}$$

The equation of motion for ϕ has the following form:

$$\nabla^2 \phi = V_{,\phi} - \sum_i \frac{\beta_i}{M_{\rm Pl}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{4\beta_i \phi}{M_{\rm Pl}}} g^{(i)\mu\nu} T^{(i)}_{\mu\nu} \ . \tag{4}$$

If the time-development of the matter fields is small, one may assume $\rho_i \sim -g^{(i)\mu\nu} T^{(i)}_{\mu\nu}$. Here ρ_i's are the energy densities of the matter fileds. For simplicity, the case that β_i's do not depend on the matter fields or the case of only one kind of matter field is considered. Eq.(4) may be rewritten as

$$\nabla^2 \phi = V'(\phi) + \frac{\beta}{M_{\rm Pl}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{4\beta\phi}{M_{\rm Pl}}} \rho_0 \ . \tag{5}$$

Let ϕ_0 be a constant solution of (5), that is,

$$0 = V'(\phi_0) + \frac{\beta}{M_{\rm Pl}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{4\beta\phi_0}{M_{\rm Pl}}} \rho_0 \ . \tag{6}$$

Then the effective mass of the chameleon field is given by

$$m^2 \equiv \frac{d}{d\phi} \left(V'(\phi_0) + \frac{\beta}{M_{\rm Pl}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{4\beta\phi_0}{M_{\rm Pl}}} \rho_0 \right) \Big|_{\phi=\phi_0} \ , \tag{7}$$

which depends on the matter density ρ_0. The mass can be small at large cosmological scale but might be heavy, say, on the earth. If there are N-matter fields with common β, instead of (7), we have

$$m^2 = \frac{d}{d\phi} \left(V'(\phi_0) + \frac{N\beta}{M_{\rm Pl}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{4\beta\phi_0}{M_{\rm Pl}}} \rho_0 \right) \Big|_{\phi=\phi_0} \ , \tag{8}$$

Now as a quantum correction, we consider the trace anomaly due to N-conformal scalars, whose action is given by

$$S_{\rm matter} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g^{(i)}} \varphi^{(i)} \left(\nabla^2 - \frac{1}{6} R^{(i)} \right) \varphi^{(i)} \ . \tag{9}$$

Here the curvature $R^{(i)}$ is constructed from the metric $g^{(i)}_{\mu\nu}$. In the case that the β_i does not depend on the matter scalars, we may write the metric as $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu} = g^{(i)}_{\mu\nu} = \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\beta\phi}{M_{\mathrm{Pl}}}} g_{\mu\nu}$ and we express the curvature etc. constructed by $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}$ as $\hat{R}$ etc. Then the conformal anomaly $\mathcal{T}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{T} = \frac{N}{180\left(4\pi\right)^2}\left(\hat{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\hat{R}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - \hat{R}_{\mu\nu}\hat{R}^{\mu\nu} + \nabla^2\hat{R}\right) \ . \tag{10}$$

Since under the scale transformation $g_{\mu\nu} \to \mathrm{e}^{\sigma} g_{\mu\nu}$, the Riemann tensor is transformed as

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\zeta\mu\rho\sigma} \quad & \to \\ & \mathrm{e}^{\sigma}\left\{ R_{\zeta\mu\rho\sigma} - \frac{1}{2}\left(g_{\zeta\rho}\nabla_\nu\nabla_\mu\sigma + g_{\mu\nu}\nabla_\rho\nabla_\xi\sigma - g_{\mu\rho}\nabla_\nu\nabla_\zeta\sigma - g_{\zeta\nu}\nabla_\rho\nabla_\mu\sigma\right)\right. \\ & +\frac{1}{4}\left(g_{\zeta\rho}\nabla_\nu\sigma\nabla_\mu\sigma + g_{\mu\nu}\nabla_\rho\sigma\nabla_\xi\sigma - g_{\mu\rho}\nabla_\nu\sigma\nabla_\zeta\sigma - g_{\zeta\nu}\nabla_\rho\sigma\nabla_\mu\sigma\right) \\ & \left. -\frac{1}{4}\left(g_{\zeta\rho}g_{\mu\nu} - g_{\zeta\nu}g_{\mu\rho}\right)\nabla_\xi\sigma\nabla^\xi\sigma\right\} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

one finds that the trace anomaly can be rewritten as (for a review of conformal anomaly for 4d dilaton coupled matter, see [7])

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T} \ = \ & \frac{N\mathrm{e}^{-2\tilde{\phi}}}{180\left(4\pi\right)^2}\left[R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + \nabla^2 R\right. \\ & -3\left(\nabla^2\right)^2\tilde{\phi} - \nabla_\mu\tilde{\phi}\nabla^\mu R - 2R^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\tilde{\phi} + R^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\tilde{\phi}\nabla_\nu\tilde{\phi} \\ & -2\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\tilde{\phi}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\tilde{\phi} + 2\left(\nabla^2\tilde{\phi}\right)^2 \\ & \left. +2\nabla^\mu\tilde{\phi}\nabla^\nu\tilde{\phi}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\tilde{\phi} + \nabla^2\tilde{\phi}\nabla_\sigma\tilde{\phi}\nabla^\sigma\tilde{\phi}\right] \ . \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Here

$$\tilde{\phi} = \frac{2\beta}{M_{\mathrm{Pl}}}\phi \ . \tag{13}$$

The quantum correction to energy density can be included by replacing

$$\rho_0 \to \rho_0 - \mathcal{T} \tag{14}$$

in (5). The next assumption is that the curvature is small and can be neglected. We also consider the perturbation of quantum corrected equation (5) by replacing

$$\phi = \phi_0 + \delta\phi \tag{15}$$

and keeping only the linear part of $\delta\phi$

$$\nabla^2 \delta\phi = m^2 \delta\phi + \frac{N\beta^2}{30\left(4\pi\right)^2 M_{\rm Pl}^2} \left(\nabla^2\right)^2 \delta\phi \ . \tag{16}$$

Here m^2 is defined by (8). By replacing $\nabla^2 \rightarrow \omega^2$, we obtain

$$\omega^2 = \frac{30\left(4\pi\right)^2}{N\beta^2} \left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m^2 N\beta^2}{30\left(4\pi\right)^2 M_{\rm Pl}^2}}\right) \ . \tag{17}$$

If

$$\frac{4m^2 N\beta^2}{30\left(4\pi\right)^2 M_{\rm Pl}^2} < 1 \ , \tag{18}$$

ω^2 is real and positive, which indicates that the system is stable under the perturbations. On the other hand, if

$$\frac{4m^2 N\beta^2}{30\left(4\pi\right)^2 M_{\rm Pl}^2} > 1 \ , \tag{19}$$

ω^2 becomes complex. Then the system becomes instable. If the matter density is large $\phi_0 \sim 0$, we find $\frac{nM^{4+n}}{\phi_0^{n+1}} \sim \frac{\beta\rho_0}{M_{\rm Pl}}$ from (6) for the potential $V(\phi) = M^{4+n}\phi^{-n}$. Then we have

$$m^2 = \frac{n(n+1)M^{4+n}}{\phi_0^{n+2}} + \frac{4\beta^2\rho_0}{M_{\rm Pl}^2} {\rm e}^{\frac{4\beta\phi_0}{M_{\rm Pl}}} \sim M^{-\frac{n+4}{n+1}} M_{\rm Pl}^{-\frac{n+2}{n+1}} \rho_0^{\frac{n+2}{n+1}} \ . \tag{20}$$

Then the condition (19) can be rewritten as

$$\rho_0 \gtrsim M^{\frac{n+4}{n+2}} M_{\rm Pl}^{\frac{3n+4}{n+2}} \ . \tag{21}$$

For $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\rho_0 \gtrsim M M_{\rm Pl}^3$ or $M \lesssim M_{\rm Pl}\left(\frac{\rho}{M_{\rm Pl}^4}\right)$. On the other hand, for $n \rightarrow 0$ (if we include the case that n is fractional or irrational), we have

$\rho_0 \gtrsim M^2 M_{\rm Pl}^2$ or $M \lesssim M_{\rm Pl}\sqrt{\frac{\rho}{M_{\rm Pl}^4}}$. We should note $M_{\rm Pl} \sim \left(10^{-35}{\rm m}\right)^{-1} \sim 10^{19}GeV\sim 10^{-5}$g, or $M_{\rm Pl}^4 \sim 10^{94}{\rm g/cm}^3$. In case of white dwarf, we have $\rho \sim 10^6{\rm g/cm}^3$, therefore, if $M \lesssim 10^{-60}$eV (for $n \to \infty$) or $M \lesssim 10^{-16}$eV (for $n \to 0$), there might be an instability. On the other hand, in case of neutron star, we have $\rho \sim 10^{14}{\rm g/cm}^3$. Then if $M \lesssim 10^{-52}$eV (for $n \to \infty$) or $M \lesssim 10^{-12}$eV (for $n \to 0$), there might be an instability. Such values of M seem to be unnatural. Therefore, chameleon cosmology (at least, in newtonian limit [4]) seems to be stable under the perturbations with the account of quantum effects. Nevertheless, further checks of the consistency of chameleon cosmology should be fulfilled.

Eq.(19) seems to indicate that if m^2 is very large, there might appear an instability. In such a case, one cannot neglect the curvature. In the following, the case that the curvature is not small but (covariantly) constant is considered. The Riemann curvature can be written as

$$R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \frac{1}{l^2}\left(g_{\mu\rho}g_{\nu\sigma} - g_{\mu\sigma}g_{\nu\rho}\right) . \tag{22}$$

As we will see later, the mode cooresponding to the scale of the metric is mixed with the chameleon scalar in the perturbation. Before going to the chameleon theory with quantum correction, we consider the perturbations in usual Einstein gravity.

Multiplying $g^{\mu\nu}$ to the Einstein equation

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R = \frac{\Lambda}{2}g_{\mu\nu} , \tag{23}$$

one obtains (for 4-dim. case, for simplicity)

$$-R = 2\Lambda . \tag{24}$$

For the general variation of the metric

$$g_{\mu\nu} \to g_{\mu\nu} + \delta g_{\mu\nu} , \tag{25}$$

we have

$$\delta R = -\delta g_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + \nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\delta g_{\mu\nu} - \nabla^2\left(g^{\mu\nu}\delta g_{\mu\nu}\right) . \tag{26}$$

Choosing a gauge condition

$$\nabla^\mu\delta g_{\mu\nu} = 0 , \tag{27}$$

and considering the perturbations on the deSitter space in (22), we obtain, from the reduced Einstein equation (24),

$$0 = -\frac{3}{l^2}\delta G - \nabla^2 \delta G \ . \tag{28}$$

Here

$$\delta G = g^{\mu\nu}\delta g_{\mu\nu} \ , \tag{29}$$

which corresponds to the mode of the scale of the metric tensor. Eq.(28) seems to indicate that in above gauge δG has a tachyonic mass,

$$m_T^2 = -\frac{3}{l^2} \ . \tag{30}$$

In case of the chameleon theory with quantum effects, the equation corresponding to the reduced Einstein equation (24) has the following form:

$$-\frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{2}R + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi + 2V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}{\rm e}^{\frac{4\beta\phi}{M_{\rm Pl}}}\left(-N\rho_0 + \mathcal{T}\right) \ . \tag{31}$$

It is assumed again that there are N matter fields (conformal scalars) with a common $\beta_i = \beta$. For covariantly constant curvature as in (22) and constant chameleon field, $\phi = \phi_0$, Eq.(31) reduces as

$$-\frac{6M_{\rm Pl}^2}{l^2} + 2V(\phi_0) = -\frac{1}{2}N\rho_0{\rm e}^{\frac{4\beta\phi_0}{M_{\rm Pl}}} - \frac{N}{30\left(4\pi\right)^2 l^4} \ . \tag{32}$$

On the other hand, Eq.(5) after the replacement (14) has the following form:

$$0 = V'(\phi_0) + \frac{N\beta\rho_0}{M_{\rm Pl}}{\rm e}^{\frac{4\beta\phi_0}{M_{\rm Pl}}} + \frac{N\beta}{15\left(4\pi\right)^2 l^4 M_{\rm Pl}} \ . \tag{33}$$

Combining (32) with (33), l^2 and ϕ_0 may be evaluated. The next is to address the perturbations around the solution. For the perturbation, we choose a gauge condition (27). Then

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\mathcal{T} &= \frac{N{\rm e}^{-\frac{4\beta\phi_0}{M_{\rm Pl}}}}{180\left(4\pi\right)^2}\left[\frac{4\beta}{M_{\rm Pl}}\left(\frac{12}{l^4}\delta\phi - \frac{24}{l^2}\nabla^2\delta\phi - 3\left(\nabla^2\right)^2\delta\phi\right)\right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{6}{l^4}\delta G - \frac{1}{l^2}\nabla^2\delta G - \left(\nabla^2\right)^2\delta G\right] \ . \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Here δG is defined by (29). The equation (31) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{M_{\mathrm{Pl}}}{2}\left(-\frac{3}{l^2}\delta G - \nabla^2\delta G\right) + 2V'(\phi_0)\delta\phi \\
& = -\frac{2N\beta\rho_0}{M_{\mathrm{Pl}}}\mathrm{e}^{\frac{4\beta\phi_0}{M_{\mathrm{Pl}}}}\delta\phi + \frac{N}{360\left(4\pi\right)^2}\left[\frac{4\beta}{M_{\mathrm{Pl}}}\left(-\frac{24}{l^2}\nabla^2\delta\phi - 3\left(\nabla^2\right)^2\delta\phi\right)\right. \\
& \left. \quad + \frac{6}{l^4}\delta G - \frac{1}{l^2}\nabla^2\delta G - \left(\nabla^2\right)^2\delta G\right] . \qquad (35)
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, Eq.(5) after the replacement (14) looks like

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla^2\delta\phi &= m^2\delta\phi - \frac{N\beta}{180\left(4\pi\right)^2 M_{\mathrm{Pl}}}\left[\frac{4\beta}{M_{\mathrm{Pl}}}\left(-\frac{24}{l^2}\nabla^2\delta\phi - 3\left(\nabla^2\right)^2\delta\phi\right)\right. \\
& \qquad \left. + \frac{6}{l^4}\delta G - \frac{1}{l^2}\nabla^2\delta G - \left(\nabla^2\right)^2\delta G\right] . \qquad (36)
\end{aligned}$$

Here m^2 is defined by (8). Defining

$$b \equiv \frac{N}{120\left(4\pi\right)^2} , \qquad (37)$$

and replacing $\nabla^2 \to \omega^2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \frac{4\beta b}{M_{\mathrm{Pl}}}\left(\omega^4 + \frac{8}{l^2}\omega^2 + \frac{4}{l^4}\right)\delta\phi \\
& \quad + \left(\omega^2 + \frac{3}{l^2}\right)\left(\frac{b}{3l^2}\omega^2 - \frac{2b}{3l^2} + \frac{M_{\mathrm{Pl}}^2}{2}\right)\delta G , \qquad (38) \\
0 &= \left\{\frac{8\beta^2 b}{M_{\mathrm{Pl}}^2}\omega^4 + \left(\frac{64\beta^2 b}{M_{\mathrm{Pl}}^2 l^2} - 1\right)\omega^2 + m^2\right\}\delta\phi \\
& \quad + \frac{2\beta b}{3M_{\mathrm{Pl}}}\left(\omega^2 + \frac{3}{l^2}\right)\left(\omega^2 - \frac{2}{l^2}\right)\delta G . \qquad (39)
\end{aligned}$$

Here Eq.(33) is used. In order that Eqs.(38) and (39) have a non-trivial solution, the following condition should be satisfied,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= D(\omega^2) \\
&\equiv \left(\omega^2 + \frac{3}{l^2}\right)\left\{\frac{b}{3}\left(1 - 12\beta^2\right)\omega^4 + \left(\frac{M_{\mathrm{Pl}}^2}{2} - \frac{2b}{3l^2}\right)\omega^2\right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{64b\beta}{M_{\mathrm{Pl}} l^6} - \left(\frac{M_{\mathrm{Pl}}^2}{2} - \frac{2b}{3l^2}\right)m^2\right\} . \qquad (40)
\end{aligned}$$

The solutions of the above equation (40) with respect to ω^2 are given by

$$\omega^2 = -\frac{3}{l^2} \, , \tag{41}$$

$$\omega^2 = \frac{3}{2b\left(12\beta^2-1\right)}\left[\frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{2} - \frac{2b}{3l^2} \right. \tag{42}$$

$$\left. \pm\sqrt{\left(\frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{2} - \frac{2b}{3l^2}\right)^2 - \frac{4b\left(12\beta^2-1\right)}{3}\left\{\frac{64b\beta}{M_{\rm Pl}l^6} + \left(\frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{2} - \frac{2b}{3l^2}\right)m^2\right\}}\right] \, .$$

The solution (41) corresponds to the tachyonic mass in (30). Then we neglect the solution (41). We now consider the case that the curvature is smaller than the Planck scale $\frac{1}{l^2} \ll M_{\rm Pl}^2$. Then one may obtain $\frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{2} - \frac{2b}{3l^2} > 0$. If $\beta^2 > \frac{1}{12}$, both of the solutions (42) are positive, and there is no instability. On the other hand if $\beta^2 < \frac{1}{12}$, one of the solutions in (42) is negative, then the system becomes instable.

In summary, our study indicates that quantum effects related with conformal anomaly introduce potentially dangerous higher derivative terms to the equations of motion for chameleon fields. Such quantum effects are known to lead to so-called trace anomaly driven inflation [8] at the early universe. (For extension of anomaly driven inflation for scalar-tensor theories, see [9]). However, for chameleon cosmology in the limit of small curvature[4] the induced instabilities are negligible. Nevertheless, with the increase of the curvature such instabilities are getting more important and may put some extra limits to chameleon cosmology (if it will be realized as realistic cosmology).

Acknowledgments We thank E. Elizalde, J. Khoury, J. Lidsey and A. Starobinsky for helpful discussions. The research is supported in part by the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan under the grant n.13135208 (S.N.), RFBR grant 03-01-00105 (S.D.O.) and LRSS grant 1252.2003.2 (S.D.O.).

References

[1] A.G. Reiss et al, *Astron. J.* **116** (1998) 1009; S. Perlmutter et al, *Astrophys. J.* **517** (1999) 565; J.L. Tonry et al, astro-ph/0305008.

[2] C.I. Bennet et al, astro-ph/0302207; C.B. Netterfield et al, *Astrophys. J.* **571** (2002) 604; N.W. Halverson et al, *Astrophys. J.* **568** (2002) 38.

[3] R.R. Caldwell, *Phys.Lett.* **B545**(2002) 23; T. Chiba, T. Okabe and M. Yamaguchi, *Phys.Rev.* **D62** (2000) 023511; A.E. Schulz and M. White, *Phys.Rev.* **D64** (2001) 043514; V. Faraoni, *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **D64** (2002) 043514; S.M. Carroll, M. Hoffman and M. Trodden, astro-ph/0301273; S. Nojiri and S.D. Odintsov, hep-th/0304131, *Phys.Lett.* **B562** (2003) 147, hep-th/0304131, *Phys.Lett.* **B565** (2003) 1; A. Feinstein and S. Jhingan, hep-th/0304069; L.P. Chimento and R. Lazkoz, gr-qc/0307111; P. Singh, M. Sami and N. Dadhich, hep-th/0305110; G. Gibbons, hep-th/0302199; M. Dabrowski, T. Stachowiak and M. Szydlowski, hep-th/0307128; J. Hao and X. Li, astro-ph/0309746; E. Elizalde and J. Quiroga, gr-qc/0310128; Y. Piao and E. Zhou, hep-th/0308080.

[4] J. Khoury and A. Weltman, astro-ph/0309300, astro-ph/0309411.

[5] D. F. Mota, J. D. Barrow, astro-ph/0306047; astro-ph/0309273.

[6] T. Damour and A.M. Polyakov, *Nucl.Phys.* **B423** (1994) 532; G. Anderson and S.M. Carroll, astro-ph/9711288; G. Huey, P.J. Steinhardt, B.A. Ovrut and D. Waldram, *Phys.Lett.* **B476** (2000) 379; C.T. Hill and G.C. Ross, *Nucl.Phys.* **B311** (1988) 253; J. Ellis, S. Kalara, K.A. Olive and C. Wetterich, *Phys.Lett.* **B228** (1989) 264.

[7] S. Nojiri and S.D. Odintsov, hep-th/0009202, *IJMPA* **16** (2001) 1015.

[8] A. Starobinsky, *Phys.Lett.* **B91**(1980) 99.

[9] B. Geyer, S.D. Odintsov and S. Zerbini, gr-qc/9905073, *Phys.Lett.* **B460** (1999) 58.